

CONVENTION ON INTERNATIONAL TRADE IN ENDANGERED SPECIES  
OF WILD FAUNA AND FLORA



Twenty-second meeting of the Animals Committee  
Lima (Peru), 7-13 July 2006

Review of Significant Trade in specimens of Appendix-II species

SPECIES SELECTED FOLLOWING COP12

1. This document has been prepared by the Secretariat.
2. At its 20th meeting (Johannesburg, March-April 2004), the Animals Committee selected the following species for review on the basis of trade data supplied by UNEP-WCMC, pursuant to paragraphs a) and b) of Resolution Conf. 12.8: *Psittacus erithacus*, *Poicephalus senegalus*, *Gracula religiosa*, *Callagur borneoensis*, *Phelsuma dubia*, *Phelsuma v-nigra*, *Phelsuma comorensis*, *Uromastyx acanthinura*, *Uromastyx benti*, *Uromastyx dispar*, *Uromastyx geyri*, *Uromastyx ocellata*, *Furcifer cephalolepis*, *Hippopus hippopus*, *Tridacna crocea*, *Tridacna derasa*, *Tridacna gigas*, *Tridacna maxima* and *Tridacna squamosa*.
3. Subsequently, the Secretariat notified the relevant range States and requested their comments regarding possible problems with the implementation of Article IV identified by the Committee. At its 21st meeting (Geneva, May 2005) and pursuant to paragraph f) of Resolution Conf. 12.8 (Rev. CoP13), the Animals Committee reviewed the report of the Secretariat on the responses from range States and other available information, and determined the species for which it was satisfied that Article IV, paragraph 2(a), 3 or 6(a) had been adequately implemented. This resulted in the elimination from further review of a relatively small number of range States [see documents AC21 Doc. 10.1.1 (Rev.) and AC21 WG2 Doc. 1 (Rev. 1)].
4. In compliance with paragraphs g) to j) of Resolution Conf. 12.8 (Rev. CoP13), the Secretariat proceeded with the compilation of information regarding species and range States that had not been eliminated from the review. It engaged IUCN - the World Conservation Union to collect information about the biology and management of trade in these species and to provisionally categorize the selected species as of 'urgent', 'possible' or 'least' concern. It also requested IUCN to report on problems identified in the course of the review that were not related to the implementation of Article IV, paragraphs 2(a), 3 or 6(a). The Secretariat transmitted the consultants' reports to the relevant range States seeking their comments and, where appropriate, additional information. Range States were given 60 days to respond.
5. The reports from the consultant, including proposed preliminary categorizations, are attached to this document in the following Annexes:

- Annex 1: *Psittacus erithacus*
- Annex 2: *Poicephalus senegalus*
- Annex 3: *Gracula religiosa*
- Annex 4: *Callagur borneoensis*

- Annex 5a: *Phelsuma dubia*
- Annex 5b: *Phelsuma v-nigra*
- Annex 5c: *Phelsuma comorensis*
- Annex 6a: *Uromastyx acanthinura*
- Annex 6b: *Uromastyx bentii*
- Annex 6c: *Uromastyx dispar*
- Annex 6d: *Uromastyx geyri*
- Annex 6e: *Uromastyx ocellata*
- Annex 7: *Furcifer cephalolepis*
- Annex 8a: Introduction to giant clam reviews
- Annex 8b: *Hippopus hippopus*
- Annex 8c: *Tridacna crocea*
- Annex 8d: *Tridacna derasa*
- Annex 8e: *Tridacna gigas*
- Annex 8f: *Tridacna maxima*
- Annex 8g: *Tridacna squamosa*

6. Hard copies of the information and comments from range States that the Secretariat received within the 60-day deadline will be presented in Annex 9 to this document in the language in which they were submitted. Annex 9 will be distributed to the members of the Animals Committee at the beginning of its 22nd meeting. Additional copies will be made available to the Animals Committee or its Working Group on the Review of Significant Trade as appropriate.

#### Issues for consideration

7. In accordance with paragraphs k) and l) of Resolution Conf. 12.8 (Rev. CoP13), the Animals Committee is invited to review the information in the Annexes to this document, revise the proposed preliminary categorizations if appropriate, and eliminate from further review range States where the species is of least concern. The Committee should formulate, in consultation with the Secretariat, recommendations for the remaining species and range States in compliance with paragraphs m) to o) of this Resolution. Problems that were identified during the review and are not related to the implementation of Article IV, paragraphs 2(a), 3 or 6(a) should be referred to the Secretariat.